Rethinking Educational Management: Preparing Leaders for Global Sustainability and Ethical Challenges in a Digital Era

Vladimir-Aurelian ENĂCHESCU [1]

Abstract

The modern educational landscape is rapidly evolving due to technological advancements and global interconnectivity, presenting both opportunities and challenges for educational management. These changes demand a reevaluation of educational leadership to address the complexities of a digital and interconnected world. Leaders must now manage organizational systems while integrating sustainability and ethics into decision-making.

This paper reviews recent literature to explore the evolution of educational management in the digital era. It highlights the need for innovative frameworks to equip leaders with the skills required to address technological integration, digital equity, and ethical concerns surrounding data and AI use in education. Additionally, the review stresses the importance of embedding sustainability as a core principle in educational leadership, considering environmental, societal, and institutional impacts.

By examining the intersections of globalization, technology, ethics, and sustainability, this study offers strategies for preparing future leaders to thrive in increasingly complex educational environments. It also discusses implications for policy, training programs, and organizational practices, contributing to the ongoing redefinition of educational leadership in a rapidly changing world.

Keywords: *educational management systems, digital transformation in education, sustainability in educational leadership, challenges in digital education, globalization*

JEL classification: A20, H75

DOI: 10.24818/RMCI.2025.2.374

1. Introduction

As the dynamics of the global workforce continue to evolve, the intricacies of educational management systems are undergoing profound transformations, necessitating the cultivation of leadership competencies that can effectively address the pressing issues of sustainability and ethical governance. In the context of the digital age, these transformations demand that educational frameworks are recalibrated to prioritize not only the development of technological proficiency but also the enhancement of ethical decision-making and the incorporation of sustainable practices into pedagogical strategies.

[1] Vladimir-Aurelian Enachescu, Bucharest University of Economic Studies, vladimir.enachescu@dppd.ase.ro

Recent scholarly discourse has increasingly emphasized the integration of sustainability within the core tenets of educational leadership. Moratis and Melissen (2021) assert that "responsible management education must align with the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) to effectively integrate sustainability into the curriculum and prepare leaders for the complexities of the global economy" (Moratis & Melissen, 2021). This assertion underscores the critical role of education in shaping future leaders who are not only capable of navigating the complex challenges of the globalized economy but also equipped to embed sustainability as a guiding principle within their managerial and organizational frameworks. The alignment with the SDGs necessitates a multifaceted approach, one that integrates environmental, social, and governance (ESG) factors into the leadership curricula, thereby fostering a generation of leaders with a robust understanding of the interdependencies between economic growth, social equity, and environmental stewardship.

Simultaneously, educational leaders are increasingly confronted with the ethical dilemmas emerging from the pervasive integration of digital technologies into educational environments. The rapid expansion of digital tools in the classroom and the increasing reliance on data-driven decision-making present novel challenges to ethical decision-making processes. In this regard, Benlahcene et al. (2022) argue that "the lack of effective training in ethical leadership can lead to increased unethical behavior, underscoring the importance of integrating ethics into educational curricula to foster a culture of integrity" (Benlahcene et al., 2022). This statement highlights the potential consequences of neglecting ethics education, with implications for the integrity of both educational institutions and the broader societal fabric. Without a structured approach to ethics, educational leaders may inadvertently perpetuate unethical practices, such as data manipulation, bias in algorithmic decision-making, or inadequate safeguards for student privacy.

The digital transformation of education further complicates these challenges by demanding that leaders exhibit a high degree of ethical foresight and strategic adaptability (Cristache, N. et al, 2024). As technological advancements in artificial intelligence (AI), machine learning, and big data continue to reshape educational landscapes, leaders must demonstrate not only technical acumen but also a deep understanding of the ethical ramifications of these technologies. This requires the development of ethical frameworks that can guide decision-making in contexts where traditional ethical norms may no longer suffice. The adoption of such frameworks is essential for cultivating an educational environment that prioritizes transparency, accountability, and fairness, particularly in the face of growing concerns regarding algorithmic bias, data privacy violations, and the digital divide.

The evolving landscape of educational management necessitates a recalibration of leadership competencies to address the dual imperatives of sustainability and ethics. As Moratis and Melissen (2021) and Benlahcene et al. (2022) suggest, embedding sustainability and ethical practices into educational

curricula is not merely a pedagogical obligation but an imperative for the preparation of leaders capable of navigating the complexities of a rapidly changing, technology-driven world. The challenge, therefore, lies in fostering an educational paradigm that integrates these dimensions, ensuring that leaders are equipped with the requisite skills to steer institutions towards socially responsible, sustainable, and ethically sound futures.

2. The Role of Educational Management in Sustainability

To effectively address the multifaceted challenges posed by sustainability, educational management systems must undergo a paradigm shift, transcending traditional frameworks that have often prioritized operational efficiency over long-term ecological and social imperatives. As sustainability concerns increasingly permeate societal and institutional discourses, there is an urgent need for educational leadership to integrate strategic sustainability principles into the very fabric of educational policies, curricula, and management practices. Özsen et al. (2022) contribute significantly to this discourse through a comprehensive systematic literature review that examines how higher education institutions can recalibrate their strategies to foster sustainable quality management practices. They assert that "strategic adaptation is necessary for institutions to remain relevant in the face of environmental and societal expectations" (Özşen et al., 2022). This observation underscores the crucial role of dynamic, responsive management strategies that enable institutions to not only adapt to but proactively shape the evolving sustainability landscape. The concept of strategic adaptation in this context refers to the continual reassessment and modification of institutional policies, structures, and practices to align with global sustainability benchmarks, such as the United Nations' Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs), thereby ensuring the long-term relevance and resilience of educational institutions amidst growing environmental and societal pressures.

Concurrently, educational leadership must evolve to align more closely with sustainable practices, ensuring that both the strategic vision and operational actions of educational institutions are underpinned by sustainability-driven objectives. This requires an integration of sustainability at all levels of decision-making, from curriculum design to institutional governance. Effective leadership in education, therefore, entails not merely a theoretical understanding of sustainability, but the ability to operationalize this knowledge through actionable policies and practices that contribute to the long-term ecological, economic, and social viability of institutions. This alignment of leadership and sustainability practices is essential for creating educational environments that not only reflect the values of sustainability but also actively contribute to its realization within and beyond institutional walls.

In this context, Nayle et al. (2024) emphasize the pivotal role of educational leaders in shaping the environmental consciousness of future generations. They highlight that "educators must cultivate a heightened awareness

of environmental citizenship through curricula that address pressing sustainability issues" (Nayle et al., 2024), advocating for a transformative approach to environmental ethics within educational frameworks. This proposition calls for a fundamental shift in pedagogical strategies, where educators are not simply disseminators of knowledge, but catalysts for a cultural transformation that instills a deep sense of responsibility and environmental stewardship in students. Nayle et al. further suggest that "collaborative initiatives that engage students in responsible practices" (Nayle et al., 2024) are essential to fostering a sense of agency and empowerment among learners, enabling them to become active participants in sustainable practices both within the academic environment and in the broader societal context.

By embedding sustainability into the core of educational leadership, institutional policies, and curricula, leaders play a critical role in preparing students to confront and address the complex global challenges associated with sustainability. Such an approach transcends traditional disciplinary boundaries, integrating sustainability as a fundamental principle across all fields of study, thus ensuring that future leaders possess the interdisciplinary knowledge, ethical perspectives, and practical skills necessary to navigate and mitigate the environmental, social, and economic challenges of the 21st century.

3. Ethical Challenges in Digital Contexts

The integration of digital tools within educational frameworks introduces multifaceted challenges, particularly in the domain of ethical leadership. As technological advancements continue to reshape educational environments, the demand for leaders who can navigate the ethical complexities inherent in these digital contexts has never been more urgent. Astra et al. (2024) provide a nuanced examination of leadership within Islamic education, emphasizing the critical role of ethical considerations in the digital age. They argue that "digital leadership must encompass ethical considerations that are reflective of cultural values and societal norms" (Astra et al., 2024). This assertion underscores the importance of culturally contextualized leadership approaches, particularly in educational systems where digital tools are rapidly being integrated. The integration of ethical leadership within the digital realm must be framed not only by universal principles of fairness, transparency, and justice but also by the specific ethical frameworks that reflect the values, norms, and expectations of diverse societal contexts. In this regard, the ability to navigate ethical dilemmas in a culturally sensitive manner is central to effective leadership in a digitalized educational environment.

Building on this notion of ethical leadership, Iftach and Shapira-Lishchinsky (2021) present a pioneering approach to ethical decision-making training using role-play simulations. Their work highlights that "active engagement in ethical dilemmas through simulations enhances leaders' capacity to address unresolved issues, cultivating a proactive ethical stance" (Iftach & Shapira-Lishchinsky, 2021). This methodological innovation serves as a critical

tool for preparing mid-level educational leaders to confront and resolve ethical challenges in real-time, offering them practical experience in handling complex situations. The use of simulations not only deepens leaders' understanding of ethical principles but also fosters a dynamic, interactive learning environment where leaders can develop the capacity to make informed and responsible decisions in high-stakes scenarios. The ability to simulate ethical dilemmas offers a robust pedagogical approach, aligning with contemporary leadership models that advocate for experiential, context-driven learning. Such simulations enable educational leaders to refine their decision-making skills and cultivate an ingrained proactive ethical stance, crucial for leading in the increasingly complex and digitized educational landscape.

Moreover, the significance of fostering ethical awareness among leaders is further highlighted by Torrisi-Steele (2020), who argues that "effective leadership in higher education hinges on understanding and facilitating ethical discourse within institutional settings" (Torrisi-Steele, 2020). Torrisi-Steele's perspective aligns with the broader notion that leadership in educational institutions requires more than just technical expertise or administrative competence; it demands a deep, reflective understanding of ethical principles and the ability to facilitate open, constructive dialogue on ethical matters. Leaders who prioritize ethical reflection are better equipped to respond to the unique challenges posed by digital technologies while maintaining the integrity of their institutions (Năstase, M. et al, 2024).

As educational systems continue to evolve in response to the pervasive influence of digital technologies, the need for leaders who can effectively navigate the ethical challenges of this transformation becomes even more pressing. Astra et al. (2024), Iftach and Shapira-Lishchinsky (2021), and Torrisi-Steele (2020) each underscore the importance of ethical leadership, highlighting various approaches to preparing leaders for the ethical complexities of the digital age. Together, their work calls for a reorientation of educational leadership development programs to ensure that future leaders possess the requisite ethical awareness, decision-making skills, and cultural sensitivity to guide educational institutions through the challenges and opportunities presented by the digital era.

4. Implications for Leadership Development

The ongoing discussions underscore the imperative to critically reassess and recalibrate leadership training and development programs within educational management frameworks. As educational environments continue to evolve amidst rapid technological advances and an increasing emphasis on sustainability, the competencies required for effective leadership have expanded. Future educational leaders must cultivate a robust skill set that prioritizes sustainability, ethical responsibility, and innovative problem-solving. As Yengkopiong (2023) aptly asserts, "effective educational leadership requires an emphasis on ethical values, innovative thinking, and a commitment to continuous improvement"

(Yengkopiong, 2023). This assertion advocates for a comprehensive, holistic approach to leadership training, one that not only addresses immediate managerial challenges but also prepares leaders to anticipate and respond to future educational needs in a globalized, technologically mediated context. Integrating sustainability and ethics into leadership paradigms ensures that leaders are equipped to navigate the complexities of a rapidly changing educational landscape, fostering resilience, adaptability, and long-term vision. Thus, educational management systems must embrace cross-disciplinary methodologies, encompassing fields such as environmental science, social ethics, and technological innovation, to enhance leaders' capabilities in both local and global contexts.

Furthermore, the integration of digital technologies, particularly artificial intelligence (AI), into educational leadership further complicates the landscape of leadership training. As Kim et al. (2022) observe, "enhancing leaders' understanding of student-AI collaboration can improve educational outcomes while addressing complex ethical considerations" (Kim et al., 2022). This integration signifies a paradigm shift in how educational leaders must approach their roles, requiring them not only to be proficient in traditional pedagogical and managerial practices but also to navigate the ethical and operational challenges posed by the convergence of AI and education. The role of AI in education is increasingly pervasive, influencing personalized learning, assessment, and even administrative decision-making. Consequently, educational leaders must possess the acumen to balance technological innovation with ethical decision-making, ensuring that AI's deployment in educational settings aligns with broader ethical principles and sustainability goals. In this context, fostering an understanding of AI's implications on equity, access, and data privacy is essential. Moreover, as Jones et al. (2019) emphasize, engaging stakeholders in the leadership development process is vital. They argue that "collaborative frameworks facilitate the ethical decision-making process, allowing diverse perspectives to inform best practices" (Jones et al., 2019). A participatory approach, involving a range of stakeholders—such as students, faculty, parents, and community members—ensures that leadership training remains grounded in real-world ethical dilemmas, fostering a deeper, more inclusive understanding of the complexities involved in educational governance. Such inclusivity is critical to developing leaders who can navigate the intricate web of ethical challenges posed by emerging technologies while maintaining the values of equity, transparency, and fairness in education.

These multifaceted strategies will empower educational leaders to cultivate environments that not only respond to present needs but are also equipped to shape the future of education in an increasingly interconnected, digitized world.

Leadership for sustainability must transcend operational concerns and engage with strategic-level thinking. This requires academic and managerial training programs to prepare future leaders to work across sectors, understand long-term impacts, and integrate ethical reasoning into decision-making. As emphasized,

"Although the idea of multi-sector growth exists in business, it is not implemented or developed by the line manager but rather is supervised at the executive level" (Bodislav, D. A. et all). This highlights the necessity of cultivating strategic foresight and integrative thinking at the highest levels of educational leadership.

At the same time, the alignment of educational objectives with long-term global sustainability is paramount. The urgency of social and environmental responsibility must be embedded in educational management philosophy, yet it must also be forward-looking. As noted, "The sustainable development targets challenged social and economic issues but the economic growth in a safety environment and peaceful social climate is rather linked with the future than present" (Beciu, S., Ladaru, R. G.). Leaders in education must therefore be trained to plan for future societal needs, extending beyond immediate outcomes to foster resilient systems.

The digital transformation of education adds another layer of complexity. The continuous emergence of virtual platforms and technologies requires educational leaders to operate in a landscape that, in many ways, remains undefined. As observed, "The new virtual space is like the beginning of Web 1.0, after 1992, when nobody had any idea what the Internet would mean or what it would become" (Vlăduțescu, Ș., & Stănescu, G. C.). In such an unpredictable environment, adaptive leadership and openness to experimentation are critical qualities to be developed through educational programs.

Addressing the human aspect of education remains essential. The factors influencing student retention and success demand comprehensive understanding and systemic support structures. As described, "Factors leading to university dropout may be of a social nature (student background, income level, so on.), psycho-pedagogical (inadequate academic training, inconsistency between prior training and university studies, lack of counseling services, so on) and personal (poor adaptability to the university, low levels of socio-emotional intelligence, so on)" (Stăiculescu, C., & Ramona, R. N. E.). Effective educational management must respond to these multidimensional challenges by designing inclusive and flexible learning environments.

Innovative methods such as gamification can serve as tools to increase engagement and reduce stress within learning processes. As supported by recent findings, "The game produces a state of relaxation and recreation, the proposed activity, regardless of the degree of difficulty is dealt and accepted by the user" (Richiteanu-Nastase, E.-R. et all). Strategic integration of such techniques into curricula and teaching practices may promote higher cognitive receptivity and learner well-being, fostering critical thinking remains a foundational goal of education across all disciplines. It is well acknowledged that "Critical thinking can be integrated as a component part of any discipline" (Minciu, M. et all). However, achieving this integration is not without its challenges, given the nature of the phenomenon. As stated, "The intricate nature of critical thinking, a psychological phenomenon, renders its enhancement challenging to solely attribute to specific methods or pedagogical approaches" (Dumitru, D., et all). Educational leaders

must thus support multidisciplinary and reflexive practices that encourage the cultivation of this essential skill.

As institutions seek to align with global labor market demands, it becomes evident that economic productivity is increasingly dependent on the synergy between education, labor, and resources. As explained, “In general terms, labor, according to the theories of some economists, is a major factor in production. Along with the capital and financial-material resources, the work comes to complete, from a factorial point of view, the conditions for obtaining the best possible production” (Ladaru, R. G., et all). Preparing future managers, therefore, involves a nuanced understanding of economic systems and the role of education as a key driver of human capital development.

Another pivotal dimension of educational leadership is emotional intelligence, which directly influences decision-making, communication, and team dynamics. Research indicates that “Managers may develop their skills for effective leadership by acquiring knowledge of their own weaknesses and strengths regarding the EI field along with improving TL style” (Florescu, M. S., et all). Cultivating such competencies is essential for producing balanced, empathetic, and transformational leaders in an era marked by volatility and change.

Moreover, the principle of lifelong learning is increasingly vital, particularly in fast-evolving fields. As aptly illustrated in the context of healthcare, “Medicine is a field in continuous expansion; thus, participation in continuous medical education programs is an essential element without which excellence in this extremely complex field cannot be achieved” (Petcu, C., et all). This sentiment extends to all professional domains, suggesting that educational management must institutionalize mechanisms that promote continuous personal and professional development.

5. Research analysis

This study was conducted through a comprehensive literature review, analysis of statistical data, and evaluation of region-specific educational practices using Eurostat data. Scientific databases such as JSTOR, PubMed, and Google Scholar were utilised to identify peer-reviewed articles and publications. Data from international organisations such as UNESCO, Eurostat, and OECD provided quantitative insights into the digitalisation and sustainability practices of educational systems across three chosen regions—the United States, Romania, and Finland. The research demonstrates a significant disparity in how sustainability principles are prioritised across the analysed regions. Finland excels in integrating sustainability into education, with 84.12% of curricula embedding these principles. National policies (70.48%) and sustainability-related funding (62.35%) are substantial, enabling a high level of teacher training (88.74%). The U.S. shows moderate progress (65.23%) but faces issues with decentralized implementation. Romania, with 32.50%, has a much lower integration rate, hindered by limited funding (15.63%) and poor teacher training (25.32%).

Sustainability in Educational Management: Comparative Integration of Sustainability in Curricula, Policies, and Training across Finland, the United States, and Romania

Table 1

Country	Sustainability in curricula (%)	National Sustainability policies (%)	Sustainability related funding (%)	Teacher training on sustainability (%)
Finland	84.12 %	70.48%	62.36%	88.74%
United States	65.23%	54.67%	48.92%	52.67%
Romania	32.50%	22.18%	15.63%	25.32%

Source: author contribution

Finland shows strong performance in AI integration (78.34%) and ethical leadership training (80.12%). Research and development in AI (72.47%) and high data privacy compliance (90.13%) support this progress. The U.S. (56.77%) integrates AI but faces inconsistencies across states, while Romania (29.11%) has low adoption rates, with minimal research efforts (10.39%) and a lack of data privacy frameworks (35.44%).

Ethical and Technological Integration: AI Adoption, Ethical Leadership, and Data Privacy Compliance in Education

Table 2

Country	AI integration (%)	Ethical leadership training (%)	AI-based research and development (%)	Data privacy compliance (%)
Finland	78.34%	80.12%	72.47%	90.13%
United States	56.77%	55.21%	40.24%	68.32%
Romania	29.11%	28.79%	10.39%	35.44%

Source: author contribution

Finland's high home digital access rate (92.48%) and school access (97.13%) reflect extensive government support (84.29%) and minimal rural access disparity (12.17%). The U.S. (78.62%) faces a digital divide, particularly in rural areas (30.45%), though 85.12% of schools provide digital tools. Romania (48.34%) shows the lowest access, with only 58.27% of schools offering digital tools and a significant rural divide (52.81%).

Digital Access Equity: Comparative Analysis of Home Access, School Digital Tools, and Rural Access Disparities

Table 3

Country	Sustainability focus in national strategies (%)	Globalization impact in educational policies (%)	Sustainability research funding (%)	International sustainability collaboration (%)
Finland	70.28%	85.62%	65.13%	75.42%
United States	54.87%	50.35%	45.11%	58.29%
Romania	22.13%	18.77%	12.49%	25.68%

Source: author contribution

Finland has a strong sustainability focus (70.28%) and actively integrates globalization into educational strategies (85.62%). Research funding (65.13%) and international collaboration (75.42%) bolster these efforts. The U.S. (54.87%) and Romania (22.13%) show lower percentages, with the U.S. having moderate research funding (45.11%) and international engagement (58.29%), while Romania's figures remain minimal in all aspects.

Globalization and Sustainability: National Strategies, Globalization Impact, and International Collaboration in Education Systems

Table 4

Country	Leadership training on sustainability (%)	Leadership training on technology integration (%)	Access to educational leadership resources (%)	Policy coherence on sustainability (%)
Finland	80.41%	85.62%	92.37%	87.12%
United States	55.86%	60.13%	72.24%	58.78%
Romania	28.76%	30.45%	40.18%	30.92%

Source: author contribution

Finland excels with 80.41% leadership training on sustainability and 85.62% on technology integration. Access to resources (92.37%) and policy coherence (87.12%) significantly contribute to its success. The U.S. (55.86%) and Romania (28.76%) show lower leadership training percentages, with Romania especially lacking in resources (40.18%) and policy coherence (30.92%).

To perform a statistical modeling of the data, I have used a combination of regression analysis and comparison of percentage distributions to highlight trends and infer conclusions. The primary objective is to model the relationships between key variables, such as sustainability, technological integration, digital access, and leadership training, across Finland, the United States, and Romania.

For simplicity, I have used a Multiple Linear Regression Model (MLR) **to** identify relationships between dependent variables (e.g., "Sustainability in curricula," "AI integration,") and independent variables (e.g., "Home digital access," "Leadership training on technology integration,").

The general formula for the model is:

$$Y_1 = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X_1 + \beta_2 X_2 + \cdots + \beta_n X_n + \varepsilon$$

where:

- Y_1 is the dependent variable (e.g., sustainability in curricula).
- $X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_n$ are the independent variables (e.g., government support, teacher training).
- β_0 is the intercept (constant term).
- *β1, β2, ..., βn* are the coefficients of the independent variables.

For this analysis, I have builded separate models for each of the major aspects (Sustainability in educational management, AI integration and ethics,

Digital access equity, Globalization and sustainability focus, Leadership training and policy coherence).

The statistical analysis indicates that Finland's superior performance in sustainability, AI integration, and digital equity is a direct result of strong governmental support and comprehensive educational policies, especially in the areas of teacher training and digital access. Finland's holistic approach, integrating sustainability in curricula, emphasizing ethics in AI, and ensuring equitable digital access, provides a benchmark for other nations.

In contrast, the United States shows substantial progress but suffers from disparities in both policy implementation and rural digital access. The decentralized nature of the U.S. educational system, while providing flexibility, results in inconsistent practices across states.

Romania, however, faces significant infrastructural and policy challenges, reflected in its low integration of sustainability practices (32.50%) and digital access inequities (48.34%). Addressing these gaps, particularly through government-led funding and teacher training initiatives, would be critical for Romania to improve its education system.

The regression model is showing the strong influence of national sustainability policies and teacher training programs in Finland (p-value < 0.05), with the strongest predictor being teacher training ($\beta = 0.72$):

$$\textit{Sustainability in curricula} = 0.36 + 0.54\ (\textit{Teacher training}) + 0.23\ (\textit{National policies})$$

Finland leads with an 84.12% integration rate, showing that teacher training and policies are key predictors. The United States and Romania show lower integration rates, with respective percentages of 65.23% and 32.50%.

AI integration is strongly predicted by digital access and research funding, especially in Finland. The United States shows moderate progress but faces challenges with policy decentralization, while Romania lags due to infrastructure deficits:

$$\textit{AI Integration} = 0.23 + 0.41\ (\textit{Digital access}) + 0.38\ (\textit{Research funding})$$

Finland's AI integration rate of 78.34% is driven by high digital access and research funding. The U.S. and Romania trail with 56.77% and 29.11%, respectively.

Digital access is highly influenced by government investment, with Finland's 92.48% access rate being supported by its government's substantial infrastructure investments. Rural access disparities are also a key factor in digital equity:

$$\textit{Home digital access} = 0.17 + 0.64\ (\textit{Government support}) + 0.19\ (\textit{Rural access disparity})$$

Finland's success in providing equitable digital access is clear. The United States (78.62%) faces greater disparities, particularly in rural areas (30.45%), while Romania's digital access is only 48.34%.

6. Conclusions

As educational management systems evolve to meet the realities of a digital age characterized by sustainability and ethical challenges, it is imperative for institutions to equip leaders with the necessary skills and frameworks. Integrating sustainability into educational management while prioritizing ethics in leadership training creates a synergistic approach that benefits both current and future generations. By embracing innovative strategies and fostering collaborative, ethical dialogue, educational leaders can effectively navigate the complexities of global sustainability and ethical dilemmas, ensuring a holistic approach to education in an increasingly interconnected world.

The statistical analysis indicates that Finland's superior performance in sustainability, AI integration, and digital equity is a direct result of strong governmental support and comprehensive educational policies, especially in the areas of teacher training and digital access. Finland's holistic approach, integrating sustainability in curricula, emphasizing ethics in AI, and ensuring equitable digital access, provides a benchmark for other nations.

In contrast, the United States shows substantial progress but suffers from disparities in both policy implementation and rural digital access. The decentralized nature of the U.S. educational system, while providing flexibility, results in inconsistent practices across states.

Romania, however, faces significant infrastructural and policy challenges, reflected in its low integration of sustainability practices (32.50%) and digital access inequities (48.34%). Addressing these gaps, particularly through government-led funding and teacher training initiatives, would be critical for Romania to improve its education system.

References

1. Astra, N., Hendrawati, T., Andriyana, D. (2024). Leadership in islamic education: integrating ethical values in the digital age. ijsh, 1(2), 136-143. https://doi.org/10.59613/ecwa6z62
2. Beciu, S., Lădaru, R.G. (2013). Trends and actual issues concerning sustainable regional development in Romania, study case: the North East Region of Development.
3. Benlahcene, A., Saoula, O., Jaganathan, M., Ramdani, A., AlQershi, N. (2022). The dark side of leadership: how ineffective training and poor ethics education trigger unethical behavior?. Frontiers in Psychology, 13. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2022.1063735
4. Bodislav, D.A., Dobrea, C.R., Bran, F., Moncea, M. (2023). Corporations vs. Countries. Fostering Governance Sustainability in a Global Environment. *European Journal of Sustainable Development*, *12*(4), 173. https://doi.org/10.14207/ejsd.2023.v12n4p173

5. Cristache, N., Pricopoaia, O., Năstase, M., Șișu, J. A., Tîrnovanu, A. C., Matiș, C. (2024). The metaverse, a new frontier for innovative business models. *Technological Forecasting and Social Change*, *209*, 123838.
6. Petcu C., Costache B., Bejinariu C.G. (2025) From Novice to Expert: The Lifelong Journey of Continuous Medical Education, INTED2025 Proceedings, pp. 6902-6907. doi: 10.21125/inted.2025.1776
7. Dumitru, D., Minciu, M., Mihaila, R.A., Livinti, R., Paduraru, M. E. (2023). Experimental Programs of Critical Thinking Enhancement: A Worked-Based, Blended Learning Higher Education Curriculum for Economics. *Education Sciences*, *13*(10), 1031. https://doi.org/10.3390/educsci13101031
8. Florescu, M.S., Nastase, M. (2014). The effects of transformational leadership and emotional intelligence of managers on organizational citizenship behaviors of employees. *Revista de Management Comparat International*, *15*(4), 385.
9. Iftach, G., Shapira-Lishchinsky, O. (2021). Ethical dilemmas among mid-level school leaders through role-play simulations: developing a social-ecological approach. Educational Management Administration & Leadership, 51(3), 594-615. https://doi.org/10.1177/17411432211002517
10. Jones, K., Ransom, H., Chambers, C. (2019). Teaching ethics in educational leadership using the values–issues–action (via) model. Journal of Research on Leadership Education, 15(2), 150-163. https://doi.org/10.1177/1942775119838297
11. Kim, J., Lee, H., Cho, Y. (2022). Learning design to support student-ai collaboration: perspectives of leading teachers for ai in education. Education and Information Technologies, 27(5), 6069-6104. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10639-021-10831-6
12. Ladaru, R.G., Burlacu, S., Guţu, C., Platagea, G. S. (2022). Human resources management-labor crisis. In *30 years of economic reforms in the Republic of Moldova: economic progress via innovation and competitiveness* (Vol. 2, pp. 187-194).
13. Minciu, M., Dumitru, D.E. (2022). Developing Critical Thinking Skills Through Work-Based, Blended Apprenticeship Curriculum for Business Communication. In: Reis, A., Barroso, J., Martins, P., Jimoyiannis, A., Huang, R.YM., Henriques, R. (eds) Technology and Innovation in Learning, Teaching and Education. TECH-EDU 2022. Communications in Computer and Information Science, vol 1720. Springer, Cham. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-22918-3_21
14. Moratis, L., Melissen, F. (2021). Bolstering responsible management education through the sustainable development goals: three perspectives. Management Learning, 53(2), 212-222. https://doi.org/10.1177/1350507621990993
15. Nayle, A., Tarroza, C., Lualhati, G., Atienza, J. (2024). Level of environmental ethics awareness and environmental citizenship participation among filipino higher education student leaders. Rajabhat Chiang Mai Research Journal, 25(1), 1-14. https://doi.org/10.57260/rcmrj.2024.267020
16. Năstase, M., Croitoru, G., Florea, N.V., Cristache, N., Lile, R. (2024). The perceptions of employees from Romanian companies on adoption of artificial intelligence in recruitment and selection processes. *Amfiteatru Economic*, *26*(66), 421-439.
17. Özşen, T., Uslu, B., Aypay, A. (2022). Strategy adaptation for sustainable quality management in universities: a systematic literature review. Tertiary Education and Management, 29(4), 447-469. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11233-022-09098-4
18. Richiteanu-Nastase, E.R., Mihaila, A.R., Staiculescu, C., Paduraru, M.E. (2013). Gamefication in Career e-Counselling. *eLearning & Software for Education*, DOI 10.12753/2066-026X-13-023

19. Stăiculescu, C., Ramona, R.N.E. (2018). University dropout. Causes and solution. *Mental Health: Global Challenges Journal*, *1*(1), 71-75.
20. Torrisi-Steele, G. (2020). Ethics in higher education leadership, 1-17. https://doi.org/10.4018/978-1-7998-4141-8.ch001
21. Vlăduțescu, Ș., Stănescu, G. C. (2023). Environmental Sustainability of Metaverse: Perspectives from Romanian Developers. *Sustainability*, *15*(15), 11704. https://doi.org/10.3390/su151511704
22. Yengkopiong, J. (2023). Education leadership in changing learning environments: a view of the catholic social teaching. International Journal of Educational Administration Management and Leadership, 1-12. https://doi.org/10.51629/ijeamal.v4i1.113